

## Background Questions

---

1. Which of the following best describes your organization?
  - Physician-owned medical group
  - Hospital or health system-affiliated medical group
  - Accountable care organization
  - Health plan
  - Vendor
  - Employer or purchaser
  - Patient advocacy or community organization
  - State or federal agency
  - Other (fill in the blank)
2. Is your organization currently PCMH Recognized?
3. Approximately how many patients, members or individuals does your organization serve each year?

## Global Questions

---

4. On a scale of 1–5, how valuable would Advanced Primary Care Accreditation be to your organization? Please explain the factors that contribute to your scoring.
5. Do you support the order and organization of the program's standards?
6. Does the program include activities that don't add value or are out of scope for primary care organizations?
7. How should AI be reflected, if at all, in program standards, given where primary care organizations are today?
8. What AI activities should standards address?
9. What observable value does AI deliver in primary care?
10. Does your organization leverage AI for FHIR<sup>®</sup> mapping/readiness?
11. Based on your organization's experience, what other problems could a quality measurement program for advanced primary care organizations help address?
12. Are there specific areas of the standards where submitting the required data sources may be challenging?
13. Will the proposed standards help your organization meet objectives? If so, how? If not, why not?
14. Are key expectations not addressed in the proposed requirements?

## Scoring

---

15. Do you support the scoring structure of each element (Met, Partially Met, Not Met)?
16. Do you support tiered Accreditation statuses?
17. Do you have concerns about scoring of specific standards or elements?
18. Would you like to leave feedback on this topic for NCQA's consideration?

## Standards

---

### PHM 1: PHM Program Oversight

#### *Element A: PHM Governance Structure*

19. Does this element apply to care delivery organizations?

#### *Element B: Annual PHM Plan*

20. Do you support inclusion of this element?

21. Does your organization currently have and maintain a population health management plan or strategy?

*Element C: Communication About PHM Programs*

22. Do you support inclusion of this element?

*Element D: Annual PHM Evaluation*

23. Do you support inclusion of this element?

24. Is this element feasible for care delivery organizations?

**PHM 2: Population Identification**

*Element A: Population Assessment*

25. Do you support factors listed?

*Element B: Targeting, Segmentation and Bias Evaluation*

26. Do you support inclusion of this element?

27. Is this element feasible for your organization?

**PHM 3: Complex Care Management**

*Element A: Access to Care Management*

28. Is this element feasible for care delivery organizations?

29. How does your organization define “care management”? What activities are included?

30. Is care management a responsibility of primary care? Why or why not?

*Element B: Care Management Process*

31. Is this element feasible for care delivery organizations?

32. If applicable, what does your organization’s care management process include? Does the process align with the factors in this element?

**PHM 4: Self-Management Tools**

*Element A: Topics of Tools*

33. Do you support inclusion of this element?

**CTC 1: Team-Based Care Strategy**

*Element A: CTC Strategy*

34. Does this element add value to the program? Why or why not?

**CTC 2: Care Coordination**

*Element A: Referral Management*

35. Are the referral expectations in this element feasible for primary care organizations? Why or why not?

*Element B: Transitions of Care*

36. Do you support inclusion of this element?

*Element C: Reporting on Readmissions*

37. Do you support inclusion of this element?

38. What readmission type (all-cause, potentially preventable, clinically related, other) may be feasible for primary care organizations?

### **CTC 3: Staff Culture and Experience**

#### *Element A: Assessment of Staff Experience*

- 39. How does your organization assess staff experience?
- 40. Do you support the proposed factors to assess staff experience?

#### *Element B: Care Team Burnout Monitoring and Mitigation*

- 41. Do you support inclusion of this element?
- 42. How does your organization currently assess staff burnout?

### **CTC 4: Alternative Payment Arrangements**

#### *Element A: Alternative Payment Arrangement Participation*

- 43. Do you support inclusion of this element?

#### *Element B: Risk Absorption Capacity*

- 44. Do you support inclusion of this element?
- 45. Does this element add value to the program? Why or why not?
- 46. Is this element feasible?

#### *Element C: Primary Care Reinvestment for Risk-Critical Capabilities*

- 47. Do you support inclusion of this element?
- 48. Does the value-based terminology in this element resonate?
- 49. Would this element be valuable to your organization? Why or why not?
- 50. Is this element feasible?

### **PSE 1: Access to Services**

#### *Element A: Access to Care Team*

- 51. Should NCQA require use of an EMR with two-way communication for 24/7 access (rather than phone-only access)?
- 52. Do you support inclusion of this element?

#### *Element B: Enhanced Communication Opportunities*

- 53. Are the requirements in this element considered “enhanced”?

#### *Element C: Demonstrating Appointment Availability*

- 54. Do you support evaluating appointment turnaround time?
- 55. Should NCQA use other metrics to assess appointment availability?

### **PSE 2: Medication Management**

#### *Element A: Medication Reconciliation*

- 56. Do you support inclusion of this element?

#### *Element B: Medication Response and Adherence*

- 57. Are reports a feasible data source for this element?
- 58. Do you support inclusion of this element?

*Element C: Prescribing Patterns*

59. What should NCQA assess with regard to prescribing patterns or medication tracking?

**PSE 3: Patient-Centered Experience**

*Element A: Assessment of Patient Experience*

60. Do you support the list of domain areas as factors, or should NCQA require a specific patient experience tool/survey?

61. How does your organization currently evaluate patient experience?

*Element B: Demonstrating Improvement on Patient Experience*

62. Do you support inclusion of this element?

**BH 1: Access and Integration**

*Element A: Demonstrating Access to Behavioral Health Services*

63. Do you support inclusion of this element?

64. Do you believe this element adds value to the program?

65. What is the minimum behavioral health access needed for an advanced primary care organization?

*Element B: Behavioral Health Referrals*

66. What data sources are feasible and appropriate for this element?

*Element C: Integrated Services*

67. What does behavioral health integration look like for your organization?

68. Do you support this element's factors?

69. Should NCQA reference a specific model of behavioral health integration for the program?

**BH 2: Behavioral Health Screenings**

*Element A: Routine Behavioral Health Screenings*

70. Should anxiety screening remain a required factor?

71. Do you support screening for suicide risk/ideation?

72. Do you support combining depression and suicide screening factors?

73. Should NCQA include a cadence for routine screenings (e.g., at least annually)?

*Element B: Clinically Indicated Screenings*

74. Do you support the listed screenings? If not, are other screenings more appropriate for primary care?

75. How feasible is PTSD screening in the primary care setting?

**BH 3: Evidence-Based Care**

*Element A: Providing Brief Interventions*

76. Do you agree with the proposed factors? If not, why not?

*Element B: Monitoring Patients Over Time*

77. Is this element feasible for your organization type?

78. Do you believe the requirements fall within the scope of primary care?

## **CQ 1: Quality Performance Measurement**

### *Element A: Clinical Measurement Reporting*

79. Do you support the use of the following quality measures to assess clinical performance? If not, please describe recommended changes and your rationale (feasibility for reporting in primary care, relevance to clinical practice).
80. Given the existence of a separate behavioral health standard, do the measures in Clinical Quality sufficiently capture behavioral health performance in primary care? Are there key gaps? Should any behavioral health measures be added or removed?

### *Element B: Demonstrating Clinical Measurement Performance—50th Percentile*

81. When demonstrating clinical measurement performance relative to the national distribution (e.g., 50th percentile), would it be helpful to benchmark network/ACO performance against CMS MIPS benchmark decile distributions for comparable measures (by the same collection type, where available)?
82. If not, do you recommend an alternative benchmarking approach?
83. Do you support requiring a specific number of measures to meet this benchmark?

### *Element C: Demonstrating Clinical Measurement Performance—80th Percentile*

84. When demonstrating clinical measurement performance relative to the national distribution (e.g., 80th percentile), would it be helpful to benchmark network/ACO performance against CMS MIPS benchmark decile distributions for comparable measures (by the same collection type, where available)?
85. If not, do you recommend an alternative benchmarking approach?
86. Do you support requiring a specific number of measures to meet this benchmark?

### *Element D: Improving Disparity Gaps*

87. Do you support inclusion of this element?
88. Do you agree with the listed factors? If not, why not?
89. Does the explanation for this element resonate?

## **DME 1: Data Integration and Exchange**

### *Element A: Core Data Integration*

90. Do you support this element's data integration sources?
91. What sources does your organization currently integrate?
92. Does the explanation for this element resonate?

### *Element B: Advanced Data Integration*

93. Do you support this element's data integration sources?
94. What sources does your organization currently integrate?
95. Does the explanation for this element resonate?

### *Element C: Bidirectional External Exchange of Information*

96. Do you support inclusion of this element?
97. Which external data sources/types (claims, eligibility/rosters, HIE feeds, external labs, imaging, pharmacy/PBM, IIS, registries) are the most challenging to obtain and integrate into your systems, data tools/platforms for quality reporting/gap closure, attribution workflows, etc.? Please explain.
98. What limitations, constraints or costs affect your ability to access or receive FHIR data, or to transform data into FHIR?

## **DME 2: Use of Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resources**

### *Element A: FHIR Data Integration Capability*

99. Which dQM inputs require external data sharing (claims, eligibility/rosters, HIE feeds, external labs, imaging, pharmacy/PBM, IIS, registries), and which are the most difficult to obtain and integrate reliably?
100. Are the evidence requirements (e.g., screenshots, sample outputs) clear and feasible?
101. Does this element appropriately account for variation in vendor capabilities and system configurations?

### *Element B: FHIR Data Production and Validation*

102. Are the required FHIR bundle components appropriate?
103. Is the requirement for validation against implementation guides clear?
104. Is the level of effort required to generate and validate FHIR datasets reasonable?
105. Are evidence and submission requirements (e.g., JSON file, validation outputs) clear and feasible?
106. Does this element appropriately reflect progression from Element A?

### *Element C: FHIR Digital Quality Measure Reporting*

107. In the provided materials, three measure specifications—COL CD, URI CD, BPC CD—assess an organization's technical capability to submit data using FHIR. After reviewing the measure specifications, do you have questions or comments about their readability, clarity or interpretability (e.g., structure, terminology, logic, implementation guidance)?
108. Which of the following best reflects how your organization defines patient attribution?
  - All patients within a specific payer
  - All patients covered under a particular contract
  - Patients segmented by clinical or diagnostic risk
  - Patients segmented by socio-economic risk
  - Patients segmented by disease or disorder
  - Patients segmented by level of engagement (e.g., patients with an Annual Wellness Visit vs. those who are not engaged)
109. How challenging would it be for your organization to report the three measures using one attribution (network/ACO) approach, while continuing to report similar measures to health plans using a different, plan-defined attribution?
110. What limitations, constraints or costs are there for accessing/reporting required data elements (vendor fees, separate data feeds, siloed systems)? Which are specific to FHIR dQMs vs. eCQMs, and what mitigations should NCQA consider?
111. Beyond addressing barriers, what enablers would most help your organization successfully report FHIR-based quality measures? Please share additional feedback about becoming FHIR-ready.
112. Does your organization have a roadmap or implementation plan for incorporating FHIR-based digital dQMs into your systems?

### **Additional Measures**

---

113. How relevant, feasible and valuable are continuity of care measures such as the American Board of Family Medicine Continuity Measure?
114. How relevant, feasible and valuable are primary care experience and delivery measures such as the Primary Care Practice Centered Measure?
115. How relevant, feasible and valuable are patient-reported outcome measures such as self-reported overall health status, pain interference or pain intensity measures like the PROMIS<sup>®</sup> Pain Interference or the PEG scale?

116. What considerations or challenges should NCQA consider in implementing program measures, particularly with respect to data collection, reporting burden, workflow integration, equity and digital readiness?